

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/533,324	Applicant(s) LARSEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert T. Crow	Art Unit 1634	

All Participants:

(1) Robert T. Crow.

(2) Ronald Citkowski.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 18 June 2008

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

All

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner contacted Applicant on 18 June 2008 because a set of amended claims and a supplemental set of amended claims were both submitted on 13 March 2008. The supplemental set of claims had been separated by the cover sheet. Hence, the examiner did not know which set of claims was the supplemental set. Applicant's representative informed the examiner that the supplemental set of claims to be examined is the set that has struck-through the phrase "of a liquid material" in line 13 of claim 1.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

